Case5:07-cv-00262-RMW Document97 Filed08/03/11 Page1 of 16

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN JOSE DIVISION
4	
5	DEUAN GUETKU \
6	REHAN SHEIKH,) C-07-00262 RMW)
7	PLAINTIFF,) SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA)
8	VS.) JULY 18, 2008)
9	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., AND) PAGES 1-16 DOES 1 THROUGH 20,) INCLUSIVE,)
10	DEFENDANT.
11	<u> </u>
12	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE RONALD M. WHYTE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14	ONTIED STATES DISTRICT SODGE
15	APPEARANCES:
16	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: REHAN AYYUB SHEIKH
17	IN PROPRIA PERSONA P.O. BOX 869
18	FRENCH CAMP, CALIFORNIA 95231
19	FOR THE DEFENDANT: LITTLER MENDELSON
20	BY: MARLENE S. MURACO 50 WEST SAN FERNANDO STREET
21	15TH FLOOR
22	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95113
23	
24	
25	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595
	1

1	
2	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA JULY 18, 2008 PROCEEDINGS
3	(WHEREUPON, COURT CONVENED AND THE
4	FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD:)
5	THE CLERK: NEXT MATTER, C-07-00262,
6	REHAN SHEIKH VERSUS CISCO SYSTEMS, ON FOR
7	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO REMAND.
8	(REHAN SHEIKH, PLAINTIFF, NOT PRESENT.)
9	MS. MURACO: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.
10	MARLENE MURACO FOR DEFENDANT CISCO.
11	THE COURT: HAVE YOU SEEN THE PLAINTIFF?
12	MS. MURACO: NO. HE DID FILE ANOTHER
13	BRIEF THIS MORNING, THOUGH, YOUR HONOR, ANOTHER
14	25-PAGE REPLY BRIEF TO GO WITH THE 65-PAGE ONE HE
15	FILED BEFORE.
16	THE COURT: THE PROBLEM I HAVE SINCE
17	HE'S NOT HERE, I GUESS WE GO FORWARD WITHOUT HIM.
18	BUT IT REALLY DOES APPEAR THAT HE IS NOT
19	MAKING AN ERISA CLAIM AND HE'S BASICALLY SAID SO IN
20	HIS PAPERS.
21	AND WHEN YOU READ THROUGH THE COMPLAINT,
22	IT'S PRETTY CLEARLY BASED ON STATE DISCRIMINATION
23	LAW.
24	MS. MURACO: WHAT HE SAID, YOUR HONOR, IS
25	THAT HE'S NOT SEEKING PAYMENT OF THE LONG-TERM

1 DISABILITY BENEFITS BECAUSE THEY WERE ALREADY PAID 2 OUT TO HIM. 3 BUT AS THE COURT NOTED IN ITS AUGUST 10TH, 2007 ORDER, THE FACT THAT THE LONG-TERM 4 5 DISABILITY BENEFITS HAVE SINCE BEEN PAID DOES NOT NECESSARILY MEAN THERE'S NO ERISA PREEMPTION. 6 FOR EXAMPLE, IF THE DISCRIMINATORY CONDUCT NEVERTHELESS INVOLVES THE ADMINISTRATION OF 8 9 BENEFITS UNDER AN ERISA PLAN, WHAT HE KEEPS SAYING 10 IS "I DON'T -- I'M NOT ASKING FOR THE BENEFITS 11 BECAUSE THEY'VE ALREADY BEEN PAID." 12 BUT IF YOU LOOK AT HIS AMENDED COMPLAINT, 13 HIS SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT, WHICH IS HIS THIRD TRY HERE, IT CONTINUES TO INCLUDE A BREACH OF 14 15 CONTRACT CLAIMS THAT SPECIFICALLY REFERENCE PAYMENT OF THE LONG-TERM DISABILITY BENEFITS. 16 17 AND IN HIS FIRST REPLY BRIEF, HE SAYS 18 THAT IT'S -- THAT THE CONTRACT CLAIM IS BASED ON 19 THE FACT THAT HE SIGNED AN AGREEMENT WITH CISCO 20 THROUGH ITS AGENT, UNUM, THE LONG-TERM DISABILITY PROVIDER, TO RESOLVE THE ERISA BENEFITS CLAIM VIA 21 22 NEUTRAL CLAIM ADMINISTRATORS. 23 THE PLAINTIFF STOOD BY HIS OBLIGATION AND

WAITED FOR TWO AND A HALF YEARS BEFORE CISCO AND

UNUM ACTUALLY CARED TO REVIEW HIS ERISA PLAN

24

25

1	BENEFITS THAT ULTIMATELY RESULTED IN PAYMENT OF
2	BENEFITS.
3	HIS COMPLAINT CONTINUES TO MAKE A CLAIM
4	THAT CISCO SYSTEMS INTERFERED WITH HIS TIMELY
5	RECEIPT OF LONG-TERM DISABILITY BENEFITS.
6	HE SAYS, "I GOT THE BENEFITS, BUT I
7	SUFFERED ADDITIONAL HARM AND I'M SEEKING A REMEDY
8	THROUGH BREACH OF CONTRACT AND BREACH OF IMPLIED
9	COVENANT FOR THE DELAY AND THE PAYMENT, IN THE
10	PAYMENT OF THOSE BENEFITS."
11	SO THAT CLAIM IS STILL THERE, YOUR HONOR,
12	AND HE'S HAD REPEATED CHANCES TO GET RID OF IT.
13	HE WENT THROUGH IN THE SECOND AMENDED
14	COMPLAINT, AND HE COULD HAVE JUST SPECIFIED, "I'M
15	SEEKING REMEDY FOR THE FAILURE TO TIMELY PAY ME
16	SHORT-TERM DISABILITY BENEFITS AND WORKER'S
17	COMPENSATION BENEFITS."
18	HE DIDN'T. INSTEAD HE WENT THROUGH AND
19	JUST USED THE WORD, QUOTE, "BENEFITS," WHERE BEFORE
20	HE HAD SAID "LONG-TERM DISABILITY BENEFITS," TO
21	PRESERVE HIS RIGHT TO CONTINUE TO MAKE THIS CLAIM
22	THAT CISCO TIMELY INTERFERED INTERFERED WITH HIS
23	TIMELY RECEIPT OF THE BENEFITS.
24	AND THIS ALL HAS TO BE VIEWED, YOUR
25	HONOR, AGAINST THE BACKDROP OF MY OF DEFENDANT'S

1	PENDING MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION OF THIS MATTER
2	THAT WAS FILED THREE MONTHS AGO.
3	I THINK THE COMPLAINT YOU KNOW, IN HIS
4	REPLY BRIEF, HE SAYS, "YES, THE COMPLAINT STILL
5	REFERS TO SHORT-TERM DISABILITY BENEFITS, BUT
6	THAT'S A TYPOGRAPHICAL ERROR."
7	AND THEN HE GOES ON TO SAY, TO EXPLAIN,
8	"WELL, YES, BUT THERE IS A CONTRACT CLAIM BASED ON
9	THIS CONTRACT RELATING TO MY LONG-TERM DISABILITY
LO	BENEFITS."
L1	AND THEN HE SAYS THAT, IN FACT, IF THE
L2	COURT WANTS HIM TO, HE'LL FILE A SEPARATE LAWSUIT
L3	RELATING TO HIS ERISA BENEFITS BECAUSE HE MAY STILL
L 4	HAVE A CLAIM THERE.
L5	I MEAN, THAT'S ON PAGE 43 OF THE INITIAL
L 6	REPLY BRIEF.
L7	SO AND ALSO, WHEN HE TALKS ABOUT, ON
L8	PAGE 37 OF HIS FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING ACT
L 9	RETALIATION CLAIM, HE SAYS THESE ARE HIS CURRENT
20	ALLEGATIONS CISCO INTERFERED WITH THE BENEFITS
21	THE PLAINTIFF WAS ELIGIBLE DUE TO HIS DISABILITY
22	AND IT TOOK SEVERAL YEARS BEFORE PLAINTIFF WAS ABLE
23	TO RECOVER THOSE BENEFITS FROM CISCO.
24	IT'S THE SAME CLAIM. CISCO, YOU
25	INTERFERED WITH MY TIMELY RECEIPT OF BENEFITS.

1 I THINK THE CURRENT COMPLAINT AS IT'S 2 CURRENTLY STATED CONTINUES TO INCLUDE AN ERISA 3 CLAIM, A CLAIM THAT'S PREEMPTED, AND THE COURT HAS JURISDICTION TO ADDRESS MY PENDING PETITION TO 4 5 COMPEL ARBITRATION. 6 THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU. MS. MURACO: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: THE MATTER WILL STAND 8 9 SUBMITTED. 10 (WHEREUPON, A RECESS WAS TAKEN AND THE 11 CASE WAS RECALLED.) 12 (REHAN SHEIKH, PLAINTIFF, PARTICIPATED BY 13 TELEPHONE.) 14 THE CLERK: RECALLING CASE C-07-044 --15 SORRY. STRIKE THAT. WRONG NUMBER. 16 RECALLING CASE C-07-00262, REHAN SHEIKH 17 VERSUS CISCO SYSTEMS, ON FOR PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO 18 REMAND. 19 STATE YOUR APPEARANCES, PLEASE. 20 MS. MURACO: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR. 21 THE CLERK: YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO USE 22 THE MICROPHONE. MS. MURACO: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON, 23 24 YOUR HONOR. MARLENE MURACO. 25 THE COURT: I DON'T THINK IT'S AFTERNOON

1	QUITE YET.
2	MS. MURACO: ALMOST. MARLENE MURACO FOR
3	DEFENDANT CISCO SYSTEMS.
4	MR. SHEIKH: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.
5	THIS IS REHAN SHEIKH, PLAINTIFF IN THIS CASE.
6	THE COURT: MR. SHEIKH, WHAT CONCERNS ME
7	IS THAT YOUR COMPLAINT IN THE, I BELIEVE IT'S THE
8	SEVENTH AND EIGHTH CAUSES OF ACTION I MAY BE
9	REMEMBERING WRONG YEAH, THE SEVENTH AND EIGHTH
LO	CAUSES OF ACTION APPEAR TO STILL BE CLAIMING SOME
L1	FAILURE TO
L2	MR. SHEIKH: IMPROPER DENIED.
L3	THE COURT: IMPROPER DENIAL OF BENEFITS
L 4	OR CAUSING DELAY IN THE PAYMENT OF BENEFITS, AND IF
L5	THAT'S THE CASE, THEN YOU STILL HAVE AN ERISA CLAIM
L6	IN THIS CASE.
L7	MR. SHEIKH: WELL, YOUR HONOR, THE BASIS
L8	FOR THAT IS THAT THE DISTINCTION IS THAT, IS
L9	THAT I AM NOT CLAIMING IMPROPER DENIAL MOTIVATED BY
20	DENIAL OF BENEFITS.
21	BUT THIS IS CLEARLY AS A RESULT OF
22	RETALIATION FOR MY CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION.
23	I HAVE SUBMITTED COMPLAINTS OF
24	DISCRIMINATION YEARS BEFORE ANY APPLYING DENIAL.
2.5	AND EVEN DEFENDANT IN THEIR RESPONSE. SHE

1 SAID THAT IT'S MY VERSATILITY -- OR CHARGE OF RETALIATION, AND THAT CLEARLY SHOWS THAT I 2 COMPLAINED OF RETALIATION AND, IN FACT, A DENIAL OF 3 BENEFITS OR ANY IMPROPER HANDLING IS MOTIVATED BY 4 5 RETALIATION FOR MY COMPLAINTS OF DISCRIMINATION. IN ADDITION TO THAT, WITH THE MEMO I 6 7 SUBMITTED ENTITLEMENT, WHICH IS BASICALLY MEMO OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES. 8 9 I ALSO SUBMITTED EXHIBITS ON, ON --10 PROBABLY ON THE 10TH OF THIS MONTH, APPROXIMATELY, 11 AND THOSE EXHIBITS ARE BASICALLY, NUMBER ONE, MY 12 COMPLAINTS OF DISCRIMINATION WITH THE EEOC AND 13 CITING SEVERAL FACTORS IN WHICH I HAVE CLEARLY 14 SUGGESTED THAT THIS DENIAL IS MOTIVATED BY 15 RETALIATION. THE COURT: BUT IF YOU'RE CLAIMING DENIAL 16 17 OF BENEFITS, WHETHER IT'S AS A RESULT OF 18 DISCRIMINATION OR RETALIATION --19 MR. SHEIKH: YES. 20 THE COURT: -- THEN THOSE CLAIMS FALL 21 WITHIN ERISA, I BELIEVE. 22 MR. SHEIKH: WELL, YOUR HONOR, IT'S 23 POSSIBLE, IF WE, HOWEVER, LOOK AT -- NINTH CIRCUIT 24 HAS CLEARLY RULED AND THIS COURT HAS NOTED IN THE 25 ORDER DENYING REMAND THAT -- IT'S BASICALLY IF

1 THERE ARE -- I SHOULD READ FROM THE COURT ORDER. IF THEIR DENIAL IS BASED ON -- IF IT'S 2 MOTIVATED BY RETALIATION, THEN IT'S NOT PREEMPTED 3 4 BY ERISA. 5 THE COURT: IF WHAT'S MOTIVATED -- IF THE 6 FAILURE TO PAY BENEFITS IS MOTIVATED BY DISCRIMINATION --MR. SHEIKH: WELL, YOUR HONOR, THE 8 9 SITUATION IS THAT I STARTED WORKING FOR THEM IN 10 AROUND YEAR 2000, AND IN YEAR 2002, I COMPLAINED 11 FOR DISCRIMINATION BY MY SUPERVISOR AND MANAGERS OF 12 INDIAN ORIGIN, AND I AM OF A NATIONALITY, 13 PAKISTANI, WHICH IS, YOU KNOW, IS NOT VERY FAIR. I LIVE WITH THEM ALL THE TIME. 14 15 THERE WERE OBVIOUSLY SEVERAL ACTS OF 16 RETALIATION, AND I COMPLAINED BASICALLY OF 17 DISCRIMINATION BY MY INDIAN MANAGER WITH THE 18 COMPANY AND WITH THE EEOC IN 2002 AND IN 2003. 19 THERE WERE OTHER EMPLOYEES IN THE SAME 20 GROUP WHO COMPLAINED OF DISCRIMINATION BY THE SAME MANAGER WITH US, AND THAT WAS AT HUMAN RESOURCES --21 22 THE COURT: THERE'S NO QUESTION THAT 23 THOSE KINDS OF CLAIMS CAN BE BROUGHT UNDER THE 24 STATE ANTIDISCRIMINATION LAWS. 25 MR. SHEIKH: EXACTLY.

1 THE COURT: BUT -- WAIT A MINUTE, WAIT A 2 MINUTE, WAIT A MINUTE. 3 MR. SHEIKH: BUT WHAT --THE COURT: WAIT A MINUTE. 4 5 BUT WHAT ELSE YOU'VE ALLEGED IS THAT 6 THERE'S BEEN, APPARENTLY, A FAILURE TO PAY BENEFITS, OR THE DELAY IN PAYING BENEFITS, WHICH 8 WOULD --9 MR. SHEIKH: WHICH CLAIM, YOUR HONOR? 10 THE COURT: THE SEVENTH. 11 MR. SHEIKH: THERE ARE SO MANY THINGS, 12 YOU KNOW. 13 THE COURT: THE SEVENTH AND EIGHTH CAUSES 14 OF ACTION. 15 MR. SHEIKH: ARE YOU REFERRING TO MY MEMO 16 THAT I SUBMITTED ON THE 3RD, JULY 3RD? 17 THE COURT: I'M LOOKING AT YOUR 18 COMPLAINT. 19 MR. SHEIKH: MY COMPLAINT. SECOND 20 AMENDED COMPLAINT OR FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT? 21 THE COURT: SECOND. THAT'S THE ONE THAT 22 GOVERNS. 23 MR. SHEIKH: OKAY. 24 THE COURT: I GUESS THE BOTTOM LINE IS, 25 ARE YOU CLAIMING DAMAGES FOR DELAY IN PAYMENT OF

1 BENEFITS, OR THAT CERTAIN LONG-TERM OR SHORT-TERM 2 DISABILITY PAYMENTS HAVE NOT BEEN MADE? 3 MR. SHEIKH: I AM NOT CLEAR, BUT IF THIS COURT ALLOWS ME PROBABLY 60 SECONDS, I WOULD 4 5 REQUEST THIS COURT -- WHAT I WANT TO SAY. PLEASE 6 GIVE ME TEN SECONDS BEFORE I START MY RESPONSE. 7 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.) 8 MR. SHEIKH: WELL, I DID CLAIM IMPROPER 9 DENIAL, AND IN MY DOCUMENT I SUBMITTED ON JULY 3RD 10 IN THIS MEMO ON THIS ISSUE, I -- YOU KNOW, I 11 SUBMITTED IN DETAIL ON PAGE 42 OF THAT DOCUMENT 12 THAT BASICALLY I ADMITTED THAT IT IS A 13 TYPOGRAPHICAL ERROR AND THAT I DID IN THE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT. 14 15 IN MY SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT, THE COURT 16 GRANTED ME PERMISSION, AND THEN I SUBMITTED A MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION. 17 18 AND I REMOVED ALL REFERENCES TO STD 19 BENEFITS. 20 AND IT IS A MISTAKE THAT I AM MAKING ON 21 PAGE 42, AND --22 THE COURT: WELL --23 MR. SHEIKH: AND I AM LEAVING SEVERAL 24 OPTIONS. 25 I -- I AM WILLING TO -- I PROPOSE THAT I

1	AM WILLING TO REMOVE THAT.
2	HOWEVER, MY LEGAL POSITION IS THAT GIVEN
3	THE SCENARIO THAT, NUMBER ONE, THE NINTH CIRCUIT
4	RULING, BASED ON <u>CROWN GLASS</u> , WHERE JUST BY
5	SPECULATION OF IMPROPER DENIAL CANNOT BE A BASIS
6	FOR A PREEMPTION. IT NEEDS EVIDENCE.
7	NUMBER TWO, ALL THE IMPROPER DENIALS AND
8	DENIAL OF BENEFITS IS MOTIVATED BY AS AN ACT OF
9	RETALIATION FOR MY COMPLAINTS OF DISCRIMINATION.
10	AND I HAVE SPECIFICALLY ON PAGE 43, ON
11	SECTION C, I HAVE STATED SIX EXPLANATIONS WHY THIS
12	COURT CAN OVERLOOK THIS THING AND STILL COMPLETELY
13	REMAND ALL MY COMPLAINTS.
14	AND IF YOU GIVE ME PERMISSION, I CAN
15	QUICKLY READ IT FOR YOU.
16	THE COURT: NO. I CAN READ IT.
17	MR. SHEIKH: OKAY.
18	THE COURT: THAT'S WHY YOU FILE PAPERS,
19	ALTHOUGH YOU'RE SUPPOSED TO LIMIT
20	MR. SHEIKH: I ALSO WANT TO APOLOGIZE
21	THAT I SUBMITTED AN ADDITIONAL REPLY BRIEF THIS
22	MORNING AND THAT I COULD NOT MAKE A COPY AVAILABLE
23	TO YOU AT THE TIME OF FILING. I FINISHED, LIKE,
24	5:00 IN THE MORNING.
25	THE COURT: OKAY. YOU'RE LIMITED TO 25

Τ	PAGES, AND YOU'VE GONE GROSSLY IN EXCESS OF THAT.
2	BUT THE BOTTOM LINE QUESTION THAT I'M
3	TRYING TO GET YOU TO ANSWER IS, ARE YOU MAKING A
4	CLAIM THAT YOU WERE DENIED LONG-TERM OR SHORT-TERM
5	DISABILITY PAYMENTS, OR THAT THERE WAS SOME DELAY
6	OR FAILURE TO PROPERLY ADMINISTER THOSE BENEFITS?
7	MR. SHEIKH: WELL, YOUR HONOR, AS FAR AS
8	THE SHORT-TERM DISABILITY IS CONCERNED, THAT IS NOT
9	A SUPPLEMENT AND THAT IS NOT OUR POINT OF
10	DISCUSSION.
11	THE ONLY THING THAT DEFENDANT CAN BRING
12	IS THAT, THAT THE LTD PLAN, WHICH IS PROBABLY AN
13	ERISA PLAN, I HAVE NOT GONE THROUGH THAT.
14	THE COURT: OKAY. CAN YOU ANSWER MY
15	QUESTION, PLEASE? ARE YOU MAKING ANY KIND OF CLAIM
16	THAT ANY TYPE OF YOUR LONG-TERM OR SHORT-TERM I
17	GUESS SHORT-TERM YOU'VE ELIMINATED LONG-TERM
18	DISABILITY PAYMENTS WERE DELAYED OR NOT PAID OR
19	WERE OTHERWISE IMPROPERLY ADMINISTERED?
20	MR. SHEIKH: WELL, IT IS SPECULATION.
21	THIS IS WHAT I CONSIDER.
22	BUT I AM NOT BRINGING THIS CLAIM.
23	THE COURT: YOU ARE OR ARE NOT MAKING
24	THAT CLAIM?
25	MR. SHEIKH: YOUR HONOR, I AM NOT MAKING

1 A COMPLAINT FOR IMPROPER DENIAL OF LTD BENEFITS. HOWEVER, MY LEGAL POSITION IS THAT EVEN 2 3 IF I MAKE SUCH A CLAIM, THERE IS NO ERISA 4 PREEMPTION FOR THAT BECAUSE I HAVE SUBMITTED A WELL 5 PLEADED COMPLAINT. 6 PLAINTIFF HAS COMPLAINED -- PLAINTIFF'S BASIS FOR REMOVAL TO THIS COURT IS THAT I HAVE BEEN 8 DENIED BENEFITS. 9 AND I HAVE PROVED TO THIS COURT THAT I 10 HAVE RECEIVED MY BENEFITS AND I DID NOT CLAIM MY 11 BENEFITS IN THE ORIGINAL COMPLAINT. 12 SO THERE IS NO BASIS FOR REMOVAL. 13 NUMBER TWO, AFTER I SUBMITTED THE COMPLAINT, THE DEFENDANT IS -- DEFENDANT'S POSITION 14 15 OF REFUSAL TO REMAND WAS THAT -- AS YOU KNOW, I DID NOT INFORM THE DEFENDANT THAT MY CLAIMS ARE 16 17 ANYTHING OTHER THAN --18 THE COURT: OKAY. MR. SHEIKH, CAN YOU JUST TELL ME, ARE YOU MAKING A CLAIM AT THIS POINT 19 20 THAT --MR. SHEIKH: NO, YOUR HONOR. 21 22 THE COURT: WELL, YOU'RE MAKING SOME 23 CLAIM. LET ME FINISH THE QUESTION. 24 MR. SHEIKH: YES, SIR. 25 THE COURT: ARE YOU MAKING ANY KIND OF

1 CLAIM WITH RESPECT TO THE ADMINISTRATION OF ANY 2 LONG-TERM OR SHORT-TERM DISABILITY PAYMENTS OR THAT 3 THEY WERE DELAYED OR IMPROPERLY HANDLED? MR. SHEIKH: IN THAT WAY, YOUR HONOR, I 4 5 AM NOT. 6 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET ME HEAR FROM CISCO. 8 MS. MURACO: YOUR HONOR, I THINK THERE'S 9 NO -- I UNDERSTAND PLAINTIFF REPEATEDLY HAS STATED 10 HE'S NOT SEEKING PAYMENT OR COMPENSATION FOR DENIAL 11 OF THE BENEFITS BECAUSE THEY HAVE BEEN PAID. 12 HOWEVER, HIS COMPLAINT, AND HIS REPLY 13 BRIEF EVEN, MAKE IT CLEAR THAT, IN FACT, HE IS SEEKING DAMAGES FOR THE ALLEGED DELAY IN THE 14 15 PAYMENT OF THE LONG-TERM DISABILITY BENEFITS. MR. SHEIKH: WAIT --16 MS. MURACO: AND CONTENDS THAT CISCO 17 18 INTERFERED WITH HIS RIGHT TO TIMELY RECEIVE THOSE 19 BENEFITS. 20 FOR EXAMPLE --21 THE COURT: LET ME JUST STOP YOU. 22 ARE YOU MAKING A CLAIM THAT CISCO DELAYED 23 PAYMENTS OR INTERFERED WITH THE PAYMENT OF YOUR 24 BENEFITS? 25 MR. SHEIKH: IS THAT FOR ME, SIR, YOUR

1	HONOR?
2	THE COURT: YES. YES.
3	MR. SHEIKH: WELL, I DON'T KNOW WHERE
4	DEFENSE IS.
5	I DON'T BELIEVE I'M MAKING ANY CLAIM ITEM
6	THAT I DON'T BELIEVE I AM ASKING FOR ANY DAMAGES
7	RELATED TO IMPROPER DENIAL OF, OR DELAY OF ERISA
8	BENEFITS.
9	BUT I WILL BE GLAD, IF CISCO CAN TELL ME
10	WHICH DOCUMENT AND WHERE SHE IS REFERRING TO AND
11	WHERE HAVE I CLAIMED DAMAGES AS WAS STATED FOR
12	DELAY OF BENEFITS
13	THE COURT: OKAY. I'VE GOT A NUMBER OF
14	CASES STILL TO HEAR.
15	I THINK I UNDERSTAND THE POSITIONS OF THE
16	PARTIES. I'LL SEND OUT A RULING.
17	MS. MURACO: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
18	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
19	MR. SHEIKH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
20	THE COURT: OKAY. THANKS.
21	(WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS IN THIS
22	MATTER WERE CONCLUDED.)
23	
24	
25	